UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/550,991 | 07/13/2006 | Spiros Fotinos | 1581/141 | 4438 |
| | 7590 01/15/201 Murphy & Timbers LL | EXAMINER | | |
| 125 SUMMER BOSTON, MA | STREET | ARNOLD, ERNST V | | |
| DOSTON, MA | 02110-1018 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1616 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/15/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| 10/550,991 | FOTINOS ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| ERNST V. ARNOLD | 1616 | |
| | 10/550,991 Examiner | 10/550,991 FOTINOS ET AL. Examiner Art Unit |

| | ERNST V. ARNOLD | 1616 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | - | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejectio | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | cause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | ,, | |
| (c) 🛛 They are not deemed to place the application in bet | | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | maliant Amandment / | OTOL 224\ |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (r | - 1 OL-324). |
| 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>51-62 and 118-122</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>57-52 and 775-722</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application ir | n condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Ernst V Arnold/ Primary Examiner, Art U | Jnit 1616 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims to recite 'direct' contact which is a limitation not previously presented and requires further search and consideration. Applicant has added 3 new claims with the new limitation of 'kiss-cutting the film'. The claims have not been searched with respect to this concept and require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) New claims have been added with subject matter that was not previously presented. 2) Amendments have been made which require further search and cosideration. 3) The applied art of record does not appear to teach or suggest kiss-cutting the film and therefore the claims require further searching and cosideration to determine if the instant subject matter is free of the art as a whole. The claims will not be entered for these reasons and those of record. Claims 51-62 and 118-122 remain rejected.